

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This report was written by Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA) staff with many chapters based on reports prepared by the primary evaluation contractor for this study, Abt Associates Inc. (Contract #500-95-0062-T.O.3), or their subcontractors. Although this is a HCFA report for which it alone is responsible, each of the reports received from contractors and subcontractors has not been changed or altered in any way, other than minor editing.

Marvin Feuerberg, HCFA project officer, is responsible for much of the study design, implementation, and analysis employed in this project. Jeane Nitsch and Ed Mortimore, HCFA, provided editorial assistance throughout the Report. Susan Joslin and Jeane Nitsch, HCFA, are responsible for compiling and formatting the chapters and producing the Report.

Also, Eric DeLisle, HCFA, designed the cover. Ray Wedgeworth, Elaine Lew, Beverly Cullen, Rosemary Dunn and Sally Jo Wieling, all of HCFA, contributed to several sections of the Report. Steven Pelovitz, Helene Fredeking and Cindy Graunke, HCFA, managed support for this project within HCFA and thereby moved this project forward.

We would like to acknowledge the thoughtful analyses and responsiveness of Abt Associates and the Project Director, Allison Walker who had primary responsibility for Abt's work on this project. We would also like to acknowledge the major contributions of Alan White, the lead analyst on many of Abt's reports, Andrew Kramer, University of Colorado Health Center on Aging and Division of Geriatric Medicine, subcontractor to Abt Associates, and David Freund and William Ross from Fu Associates.

Additionally, the important role of other subcontractors, consultants, our Technical Expert Panel (TEP) and a very diverse range of individuals and organizations contributed time, experience, and knowledge to this project. While the list and their individual contributions are too long to fully enumerate here, Chapter 1 and an acknowledgment footnote at the beginning of each chapter details each of the individual contributions.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

CHAPTER 1.0 APPROPRIATENESS OF NURSING HOME MINIMUM NURSE STAFFING RATIOS: BACKGROUND, STUDY APPROACH, AND REPORT OVERVIEW 1-1

1.1	Background	1-1
1.1.1	Congressional Requirement	1-1
1.1.2	Public Concern With Nursing Home Staffing	1-2
1.1.3	HCFA’S Authority/Role in Nurse Staffing Study	1-4
1.1.4	Institute of Medicine (IOM) Report	1-5
1.1.5	Technical Expert Panel (TEP)	1-5
1.2	Study Approach	1-8
1.2.1	Study Objectives	1-8
1.2.2	Study Question: How Should Appropriateness be Defined?	1-8
1.2.3	Staffing Question Not Addressed	1-10
1.2.4	Isn’t it Obvious that Low Staffing is Related to Quality Problems?	1-11
1.2.5	Three Basic Research Strategies.	1-11
1.3	Report to Congress Overview	1-13
1.3.1	Phase 1 and Phase 2 Report	1-13
1.3.2	Phase 2 Analysis	1-13
1.3.3	Phase 1 Chapters Overview	1-15
1.4	Attribution and Phase 1 Analyses	1-17

CHAPTER 2.0 PUBLIC POLICY AND NURSING HOME NURSE STAFFING . 2-1

2.1	Introduction	2-1
2.2	Nursing Home Payment Rates and Nurse Staffing: Overview of Key Issues and Trends	2-2
2.3	Declining Nursing Home Demand and Occupancy Levels	2-3
2.4	Medicaid Rates	2-6
2.4.1	Key Factors in the Link Between Rates and Staffing	2-6

2.4.2	Variation Among States in Approaches to Medicaid Nursing Home Rate Setting	2-6
2.4.3	The Effect of the Repeal of the Boren Amendment on Medicaid Rates	2-9
2.4.4	Study States: Ohio and Texas	2-13
2.5	Medicare Payments	2-17
2.6	State Licensure Minimum Nurse Staffing Requirements	2-18
2.6.1	General Description of State Licensing Requirements	2-19
2.6.2	Specific State Licensing Requirements for the Study States	2-21
2.6.3	State Legislation Activities in 1999 Related to Nurse Staffing	2-22
2.7	Conclusions	2-23
CHAPTER 3.0	NURSE STAFFING IN U.S. NURSING HOMES	3-1
3.1	Introduction	3-1
3.2	Nursing Home Nurse Staffing in Other Countries	3-2
3.2.1	Diversity of Policies and Approaches	3-2
3.2.2	Nurse Staffing Levels	3-3
3.2.3	Conclusion: Nursing Home Nurse Staffing in Other Countries	3-7
3.3	General Assessment of National Nurse Staffing Data Sources	3-8
3.3.1	Introduction	3-8
3.3.2	Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS)	3-8
3.3.3	National Nursing Home Survey (NNHS)	3-10
3.3.4	On-Line Survey, Certification and Reporting (OSCAR) System	3-11
3.3.5	Summary: National Data Sources for Nurse Staffing	3-12
3.4	Trends and Current Staffing in U.S. Nursing Homes: 1996-1999	3-13
3.4.1	Data Sources	3-13
3.4.2	Staffing Levels in U.S Nursing Homes: 1996-1999	3-14
3.4.3	Mean Staffing Levels by State	3-28
3.4.4	Selected Policy Issues	3-37
3.5	Conclusion	3-61
CHAPTER 4.0	THE EFFECTIVENESS OF HCFA'S CURRENT NURSING HOME	

	NON-RATIO NURSE STAFFING REQUIREMENTS	4-1
4.1	Introduction	4-1
4.2	Survey Types and Process	4-2
4.3	Applicable Requirements	4-3
4.3.1	Statutory Requirements	4-3
4.3.2	Current Regulations	4-3
4.3.3	Registered Nurse Regulations	4-5
4.3.4	State Requirements	4-6
4.4	The State Operations Manual	4-6
4.4.1	Survey Process Prior to the July 1999 Changes to the State Operations Manual	4-6
4.4.2	Survey Process Changes to the State Operations Manual, Appendix P on July 14, 1999	
4.4.3	The Investigative Protocol	4-7
4.5	Factors Influencing Review of Staffing During Survey	4-8
4.6	Quantitative Analysis of Staffing Deficiencies	4-9
4.6.1	Purpose	4-9
4.6.2	Data Sources - OSCAR	4-9
4.6.3	Analysis Questions	4-10
4.7	Qualitative Analysis of Staffing Deficiencies	4-16
4.7.1	Sample Selection for Content Analysis of HCFA Form 2567	4-16
4.7.2	Review Process and Criteria Used to Review Deficiencies	4-17
4.7.3	Documentation of Nurse Staffing Deficiencies at F353: Sufficient Staffing .	4-18
4.7.4	Documentation of Nurse Staffing Deficiencies Sited at F354: Registered Nurse	4-28
4.7.5	Qualitative Analysis of Deficiencies Issued After SOM Changes	4-29
4.8	Conclusion	4-29
CHAPTER 5.0	STAKEHOLDER PERCEPTIONS OF APPROPRIATE NURSING HOME MINIMUM STAFFING: REPORT ON FOCUS GROUPS WITH NURSE AIDES	5-1
5.1	Background, Objectives, and Brief Overview of Results	5-1

5.2	Methodologies for the Nurse Aide Focus Groups and the Facility Staff Interviews	5-4
5.2.1	Focus Group Methodology	5-4
5.2.2	Methodology for the Facility Staff Interviews	5-6
5.3	Detailed Focus Group Findings	5-7
5.3.1	Staffing Schedule Determinations	5-8
5.3.2	Absenteeism	5-9
5.3.3	Workload and the Outcomes of Short Staffing	5-13
5.3.4	Relationships Between Licensed and Non-Licensed Staff	5-18
5.3.5	Time it Takes to Feed Residents	5-20
5.3.6	Most Positive and Negative Aspects of Being a Nurse Aide	5-23
5.4	Detailed Facility Staff Interview Results	5-24
5.4.1	Administrator/DON Involvement in Staffing-Related Activities	5-24
5.4.2	Staffing Process -- the Development of Staffing Schedules	5-24
5.4.3	Absenteeism	5-27
5.4.4	Recruitment and Retention of Facility Staff--Extent of the Problem	5-29
5.4.5	Ideal versus Actual Staffing Levels	5-30
5.4.6	Government Mandated Minimums	5-32
5.5	Conclusion	5-32

CHAPTER 6.0 REVIEW OF SELECTED RESEARCH ON NURSING HOME STAFFING AND RESIDENT OUTCOMES 6-1

6.1	Introduction	6-1
6.2	Review of Selected Research on Nurse Staffing and Quality of Care Literature	6-2
6.2.1	Introduction	6-2
6.2.2	Sample Size and Representativeness	6-2
6.2.3	Outcome Measures and Risk Adjustment	6-3
6.2.4	Measurement of Staffing	6-5
6.2.5	Consistency and Strength of Findings	6-6
6.2.6	Conclusion: Review of Selected Research on Nurse Staffing and Quality of Care Literature	6-7

6.3	Hartford Institute for Geriatric Nursing--Nursing Home Staffing Conference	6-10
6.3.1	Background	6-10
6.3.2	Conference Proceedings	6-11
6.3.4	Conference Findings	6-14
6.4	Hartford Statement: Guide for Research	6-16
6.4.1	Limitations	6-16
6.4.2	Guide for Research	6-16
6.5	Quality of Care vs. Quality of Life Outcomes	6-19
6.6	Nursing Department Staff Ratios and Quality of Life	6-21
6.6.1	Background	6-21
6.6.2	Literature Review and Conceptual Discussion	6-23
6.6.3	Plans for Developing QOL Indicators	6-28
6.6.4	Preliminary Observations	6-29
6.7	Conclusion	6-31

**CHAPTER 7.0 DATA SOURCES OF NURSING HOME NURSE STAFFING
ANALYSIS, OSCAR: RELIABILITY AND VALIDITY ANALYSIS**
..... **7-1**

7.1	Introduction	7-1
7.2	Data Sources	7-3
7.2.1	Description of Ohio Payroll Data Collection	7-3
7.2.2	Online Survey and Certification Reporting System (OSCAR) Data	7-10
7.2.3	Medicaid Cost Reports	7-11
7.3	Methods	7-11
7.3.1	Assessing the Validity and Reliability of OSCAR Data	7-11
7.3.2	Assessing the Impact of Decision Rules	7-12
7.4	Comparison of Staffing Measures from OSCAR and Ohio Payroll Data	7-12
7.4.1	Analysis of Average Staffing Levels	7-12
7.4.2	Consistency of Staffing Measures	7-14

7.4.3	Comparison of Staffing Levels From the Period Covered by OSCAR to the Preceding Period	7-20
7.5	Developing Exclusion Criteria for OSCAR Data	7-26
7.5.1	Logical Decision Rules	7-27
7.5.2	Decision Rules Based on Changes in Staffing or Resident Levels Across Time	7-31
7.5.3	Overall Impact of Decision Rules	7-32
7.6	Conclusion	7-36

CHAPTER 8 DATA SOURCES OF NURSING HOME NURSE STAFFING ANALYSIS: ASSESSMENT OF OSCAR COMPARED TO MEDICAID COST REPORTS 8-1

8.1	Introduction	8-1
8.2	Data Sources	8-2
8.2.1	Ohio Payroll Data	8-2
8.2.2	Online Survey and Certification Reporting System (OSCAR) Data	8-4
8.2.3	Medicaid Cost Report Data	8-5
8.2.4	Creation of Analytic Staffing Measure Variables	8-6
8.3	Methods	8-6
8.4	Results	8-7
8.4.1	Analysis of Average Staffing Levels	8-7
8.4.2	Correlation Analysis	8-9
8.4.3	Consistency of Identification of Low-Staffed Facilities	8-14
8.4.4	Conclusion of Validity Analyses: Medicaid Cost Report Data Are More Valid Than OSCAR	8-16
8.5	Identifying Unreliable Staffing Data	8-17
8.5.1	Identification of Extreme Outliers	8-17
8.5.2	Exclusions Based on Changes in Staffing Levels Across Time	8-18

8.6	Potential Exclusion Criteria for Medicaid Cost Report Staffing Measures	8-21
8.7	Conclusions	8-23
9.0	EFFECTS OF NURSE STAFFING ON HOSPITAL TRANSFER QUALITY MEASURES FOR NEW ADMISSIONS	9-1
9.1	Introduction	9-1
9.2	Methods	9-2
9.2.1	Design	9-2
9.2.2	Sample	9-3
9.2.3	Measures and Data	9-3
9.2.4	Analysis	9-8
9.3	Results	9-9
9.4	Discussion	9-16
CHAPTER 10.0	EFFECTS OF NURSE STAFFING ON SELECTED QUALITY MEASURES FOR LONG TERM RESIDENTS DERIVED FROM MDS	10-1
10.1	Introduction	10-1
10.2	Methods	10-2
10.2.1	Design	10-2
10.2.2	Sample	10-3
10.2.3	Measures and Data	10-3
10.2.4	Analysis	10-7
10.3	Results	10-8
10.4	Discussion	10-10
CHAPTER 11.0	EFFECTS OF NURSE STAFFING ON NURSING HOME QUALITY MEASURES	11-1
11.1	Introduction	

.....	11-1
11.2 Methods	11-2
11.2.1 Design	11-2
11.2.2 Sample	11-3
11.2.3 Measures and Data	11-3
11.2.4 Analysis	11-5
11.3 Results	11-5
11.4 Discussion	11-9
CHAPTER 12.0 SYNTHESIS OF FINDINGS ON EFFECTS OF STAFFING ON QUALITY OF CARE	12-1
12.1 Introduction	12-1
12.2 Do Nurse Staffing Ratios Exist Below Which the Likelihood of Poor Quality Care Is Substantially Increased?	12-1
12.3 Do These Analyses Suggest Certain Levels That on Average May Be Important to Achieve?	12-3
12.4 What Attributes of Case Mix Are Important to Take Into Consideration in Determining Staffing Levels?	12-5
12.5 How Might Case Mix Be Taken Into Consideration When Applying Staffing Requirements?	12-7
12.6 Conclusion	12-10
CHAPTER 13.0 TIME-MOTION APPROACH TO SETTING NURSE STAFFING STANDARDS	13-1
13.1 Introduction	13-1
13.2 U.S. Army Workload Management System for Nursing (WMSN)	13-2
13.2.1 Introduction	13-2
13.2.2 U.S. Army WMSN for Setting Staffing Standards	13-4
13.2.3 U.S. Army WMSN: Critique	13-5

13.3	William Thoms' Management Minutes System	13-6
13.3.1	Introduction	13-6
13.3.2	Management Minutes System	13-6
13.3.3	Thoms' Management Minutes System: Critique	13-7
13.4	HCFA's Staff Time Measurement Studies on Nursing Care in Nursing Homes, 1995-1999	
13.4.1	Introduction	13-9
13.4.2	Staff Time Measurement Data Collection	13-9
13.4.3	Critique: HCFA's Staff Time Measurement Study as a Basis for Setting Staffing Standards	13-11
13.5	Conclusion: U.S. Army Workload Management System for Nursing, William Thoms' "Management Minutes" System, and HCFA's Staff Time Measure Studies	13-13

CHAPTER 14.0 MINIMUM NURSE AIDE STAFFING REQUIRED TO IMPLEMENT BEST PRACTICE CARE IN NURSING HOMES 14-1

14.1	Introduction	14-1
14.2	Identification of Care Practices	14-3
14.3	Review of Literature Describing Process-Outcome Relationships and Labor Requirements	14-6
14.3.1	Repositioning and Incontinence Care	14-6
14.3.2	Repositioning and Changing Processes	14-6
14.3.3	Repositioning and Toileting Process	14-8
14.3.4	Incontinence Care: Labor Estimates	14-9
14.3.5	Feeding Assistance	14-11
14.3.6	Feeding Assistance: Labor Estimates	14-18
14.4	Activities of Daily Living (ADL) Independence Enhancement (Morning Care)	14-20
14.4.1	ADL Morning Care: Labor Estimates	14-21
14.5	Exercise	14-22
14.5.1	Exercise: Labor Estimates	14-25
14.6	Input Variables for Staffing Model	14-27
14.6.1	Input Variable I: Estimating Amount of Nurse Aide Time Available to Provide Direct Care	14-27

14.6.2.	Input Variable 2: Time to Provide Care	14-30
14.6.3	Input Variable 3 : Number of Residents that Need Care	14-31
14.6.4	Investigators' Approach to Estimating Input Variables 2 and 3	14-31
14.7	Methodology and Analysis Strategy	14-33
14.7.1	Analytical Approach Simulation Logic	14-33
14.8	Resident Service Categories and Staffing Model Input Data	14-34
14.9	Simulation A and B: Minimal Number of Staff Necessary to Provide all Services .	14-37
14.10	Simulations to Identify Outcomes of Less-Than-Ideal Staff	14-37
14.11	Results	14-40
14.11.1	Scenario A: Full- and Part-time Staff: 13.5 FTE per Day, No Unscheduled Care	14-40
14.11.2	Scenario B: Full- and Part-time Staff: 13.5 FTE per Day, Low Volume Unscheduled Events	14-42
14.11.3	Scenario C: Full- and Part-time Staff: Eight FTE per Day, No Unscheduled Events	14-43
14.12	Conclusions	14-46
14.13	Limitations and Future Directions	14-47
14.13.1	Investigators Excluded Important Care Processes from the Staffing Projections	14-47
14.13.2	The Labor Requirements of Individualizing Care Was Not Simulated in the Staffing Models.	14-50
14.13.3	Investigators Did Not Report Staffing Requirements Needed to Compensate for Poor Management and High Staff Turnover.	14-52
14.14	Conclusion: Setting Nursing Home Nurse Staffing Standards	14-54
14.14.1	Study Question: How Should Appropriateness Be Defined?	14-54
14.14.2	Strong Evidence	14-55
14.14.3	Applying the OBRA '87 Standard	14-56
14.14.4	Is the OBRA Staffing Standard Attainable?	14-60